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Consensus Conference on Drowning

This document presents the consensus of a group of international investigators who met to establish guidelines for the uniform reporting of data from studies of drowning incidents. The consensus process consisted of formal discussions at 3 international meetings as well as expert review, endorsements from multiple organizations, and invited recommendations from other interested parties. The concept of using consensus workshops to formulate guidelines is not new. Similar consensus guidelines for reporting surveillance and resuscitation research have been developed for both adult and pediatric cardiac arrest.1–3

The principal purpose of the recommendations in this advisory is to establish consistency in the reporting of drowning-related studies, both in terms of nomenclature and guidelines for reporting data. These recommendations are intended to improve the clarity of scientific communication and the comparability of scientific investigations. Improved clarity and comparability of future scientific reports will advance the clinical and epidemiological knowledge base. In turn, such studies can help identify appropriate prevention strategies as well as the best treatment for victims of drowning and can ultimately save lives.

History of the Utstein Style

Laboratory and clinical investigators from many different specialties contribute to the multidisciplinary knowledge base of injury prevention and resuscitation science. Although diversity can be a strength, it can also be an obstacle because of the lack of a common language and communication between investigators from different backgrounds.

In response to these problems, in June 1990 an international group of scientists concerned with research involving out-of-hospital cardiac arrest met at the Utstein Abbey in Stavanger, Norway. Participants discussed the lack of standardized nomenclature and definitions as a key problem in research reports. A second meeting, the Utstein Consensus Conference, was held in December 1990 in Brighton, England. Recommendations from this follow-up conference were published simultaneously in American and European journals.4,5 The report included uniform definitions, terminology, and recommended data sets (the “Utstein style”) to assist clinical investigators in reporting human resuscitation studies.

With the benefit of 10 years of use and experience, the recommendations for out-of-hospital resuscitation were recently reviewed at a conference in Melbourne, Australia, revised, and simplified for update in a future publication.

The issues discussed at the first Utstein style consensus conferences are common to other disciplines concerned with resuscitation. Accordingly, since that time, many other Utstein style meetings have been organized to discuss such issues.6–11 Drowning is another important problem in resuscitation research that shares many of the same nomenclature and reporting problems. Drowning victims often require cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) or other interventions by emergency medical systems. Drowning research is based on clinical events, time intervals and points, pathophysiological changes, autopsy findings, and other observations common to cardiac arrest and CPR research.
Introduction

Drowning accounts for more than one half million deaths annually worldwide. This number is probably a gross underestimate because of underreporting.12 In highly developed countries, the highest incidence of drowning is seen in children younger than 5 years of age and in persons 15 to 24 years of age.13,14 In some countries, drowning is the first or second leading cause of death in this age group.15 Reports from many parts of the world have emphasized that drowning is a leading cause of cardiac arrest in children and adolescents.16–20

Physicians and other healthcare workers across the world deal with the consequences of drowning on a daily basis, yet there are few population-based surveillance studies on drowning incidents or prospective clinical studies of prognostic factors and outcomes of drowning. A review of existing studies reveals a lack of standardized definitions.21 Something as fundamental as the definition of drowning itself varies among reports, as do clinical characteristics of outcome measures. The lack of consistency makes assessment and analysis of studies difficult, both individually and as a whole.

Few studies have evaluated the results of attempted resuscitation from drowning starting at the scene of the drowning and continuing through hospital admission, hospital discharge, and long-term follow-up. In fact, most studies of drowning outcomes have been hospital-based and have not evaluated events at the scene or care given the victim before admission to the hospital. Because most studies demonstrate that the victim’s condition on arrival at the hospital is the best indicator of outcome, prehospital incident-related events such as duration of submersion, failure to receive bystander CPR, and prolonged resuscitation efforts are key factors.22–26 Drowning inflicts a primary hypoxic insult. The drowning victim who is not breathing or is unconscious is at risk for additional hypoxic insult on being removed from the water. Bystander and advanced life support interventions are critical for preventing secondary injury, prolonged hypoxia, and additional organ damage and must be considered in outcome studies. Even when spontaneous ventilation is reestablished, hypoxemia may persist because of intrapulmonary shunting secondary to aspiration of water/foreign material, and organ injury continues to evolve even after hospital admission.27 Thus, data from the hospital course remain important in reports of drowning.

To solve these problems, an international Utstein style consensus conference convened in Amsterdam in June 2002 to develop guidelines for definitions and reporting of data related to drowning. The conference was part of a larger comprehensive meeting, the World Congress on Drowning, an initiative of the Maatschappij tot Redding van Drenkelingen (Dutch Society to Rescue People From Drowning).28 This society was founded in Amsterdam in 1767 and has remained active in the Netherlands since then. The Utstein Task Force on Drowning was composed of representatives from major organizations whose focus is resuscitation and epidemiology (Table 1) as well as other recognized experts from around the world. The guidelines that emerged from this conference were presented and approved in October 2002 at a meeting of the International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation (ILCOR), in Florence, Italy.

The following is a discussion of the results of this conference. A definition of drowning is provided, as are recommended core and supplemental data. As in previous Utstein style reports, the primary language for the consensus process was English.

History of Drowning Terminology

In an effort to more closely align definitions of drowning with the pathophysiological process of drowning and its clinical implications, in 1971, Modell29 proposed a variety of definitions. In The Pathophysiology and Treatment of Drowning and Near-Drowning, he wrote:

The Standard Nomenclature of Athletic Injuries lists “near-drowning” as “a critical aquatic predicament resolved by successful water rescue.” This definition implies that recovery is certain once the victim is removed from the water. However, this is not always the case. Some patients who have regained consciousness after near-drowning subsequently have died. Countless others probably have met a similar fate, but have gone unreported. Ultimate survival should not be a consideration in the initial classification of these patients.

A number of other terms were proposed in an attempt to relate them to pathophysiological processes: delayed death subsequent to near-drowning, drown without aspiration, drown with aspiration, near-drowning without aspiration, near-drowning with aspiration, delayed death subsequent to near-drowning. Since that time, numerous other terms have appeared, such as “drowned,” “near-drowning with a 24-hour time limit,” “wet drowning,” “dry drowning,” “active drowning,” “passive drowning,” “silent drowning” and “secondary drowning.”

However, these terms have been confusing and imprecise. For example, a drowning victim without apparent clinical signs of aspiration may be found, after careful searching, to have pathologic signs of aspiration. It has also been reported that some of the terminology changes its meaning when translated into languages other than English.

Therefore it became apparent that a new look at terminology was needed to improve understanding and reporting of these events. For this purpose, universal adoption of the following definitions and glossary is recommended.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Organizations With Representatives on the Utstein Task Force on Drowning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>American Heart Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maatschappij tot Redding van Drenkelingen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Resuscitation Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia and New Zealand Resuscitation Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>InterAmerican Heart Foundation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart and Stroke Foundation of Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resuscitation Council of Southern Africa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Definitions

Drowning. Drowning is a process resulting in primary respiratory impairment from submersion/immersion in a liquid medium. Implicit in this definition is that a liquid/air interface is present at the entrance of the victim’s airway, preventing the victim from breathing air. The victim may live or die after this process, but whatever the outcome, he or she has been involved in a drowning incident.

The Drowning Process. The drowning process is a continuum that begins when the victim’s airway lies below the surface of the liquid, usually water, at which time the victim voluntarily holds his or her breath. Breathing is usually followed by an involuntary period of laryngospasm secondary to the presence of liquid in the oropharynx or larynx. During this period of breathing and laryngospasm, the victim is unable to breathe gas. This results in oxygen being depleted and carbon dioxide not being eliminated. The victim then becomes hypercarbic, hypoxemic, and acidic. During this time the victim will frequently swallow large quantities of water. The victim’s respiratory movements may become very active, but there is no exchange of air because of the obstruction at the level of the larynx. As the victim’s arterial oxygen tension drops further, laryngospasm abates, and the victim actively breathes liquid. The amount of liquid inhaled varies considerably from victim to victim. Changes occur in the lungs, body fluids, blood-gas tensions, acid-base balance, and electrolyte concentrations, which are dependent on the composition and volume of the liquid aspirated and duration of submersion. Surfactant washout, pulmonary hypertension, and shunting also contribute to development of hypoxemia. Additional physiological derangements, such as the cold shock response, may occur in victims immersed in cold water. Water that is 10°C or colder has pronounced cardiovascular effects, including increased blood pressure and ectopic tachyarrhythmias. The response may also trigger a gasp reflex followed by hyperventilation, which may occur while the victim is underwater.

A victim can be rescued at any time during the drowning process and may not require an intervention or may receive appropriate resuscitative measures, in which case the drowning process is interrupted. The victim may recover from the initial resuscitation efforts, with or without subsequent therapy to eliminate hypoxia, hypercarbia, and acidosis and restore normal organ function. If the victim is not ventilated soon enough, or does not start to breathe on his or her own, circulatory arrest will ensue, and in the absence of effective resuscitative efforts, multiple organ dysfunction and death will result, primarily because of tissue hypoxia. It should be noted that the heart and brain are the 2 organs at greatest risk for permanent, detrimental changes from relatively brief periods of hypoxia. The development of posthypoxic encephalopathy with or without cerebral edema is the most common cause of death in hospitalized drowning victims.

Most resuscitations begin at the scene of the drowning and not at a hospital, making on-scene data extremely important. Furthermore, many, or possibly most, drowning victims have mild symptoms, recover at the scene, and may or may not be transported to a hospital. Thus, to have a complete understanding of drowning and to capture the full scope of this problem, it is crucial that data at the scene be included in drowning reports.

The following terms should be abandoned:

Dry versus wet drowning. By definition, all drownings occur in liquid, and therefore all drownings are wet. The terms wet and dry have been used to classify drowning victims as those who aspirate liquid into the lungs (wet) and those who do not (dry). Frequently it is not possible to determine at the scene whether or not water was aspirated. This is particularly true when the amount of water is small. However, even if a victim has no evidence of fluid aspiration, the diagnosis of drowning must be suspect.

Active versus passive versus silent drowning. The term active drowning refers to a witnessed drowning in which the victim is making some motion. The terms passive drowning and silent drowning have been used when the victim is found motionless in the water and no one saw the victim enter the water. Underwater cameras, however, have shown that even victims who are apparently motionless to observers at the surface usually make some movement. In addition, cloudy or murky water may preclude accurate observation. Thus, these terms should be abandoned in favor of the terms witnessed, used when the episode is observed from the onset of submersion/immersion, or unwitnessed, when the victim is found in the water and no one saw how he or she got there.

Secondary drowning. This term has been used to describe an unrelated event (eg, seizures, cervical spine injury, or heart attack) that results in the victim’s submersion and subsequent drowning. The term has also been used to describe development of adult respiratory distress syndrome in a victim who seems to be recovering from drowning. This is particularly confusing because the victim does not experience a second drowning episode. Therefore, use of the term secondary drowning should be abandoned. It is the belief of the writing group that descriptions of associated events and sequelae should be explicit and should recognize any drowning event as a primary process that occurs secondary to a variety of predisposing events, such as disease, injury, or intentional or unintentional submersion.

Drowned and near-drowned. The terms drowned and near-drowned have been used for decades to describe the outcomes dead or alive, respectively. The term near-drowned, however, has also been used to describe patients who subsequently died from drowning. This usage has led to uncertainty about the meaning of the term. Furthermore, when the term is translated from English into other languages, the meaning can be confusing and imprecise. Therefore, it was the consensus, but not the unanimous opinion, of the conference that the term near-drowned should no longer be used. The term drowned will continue to refer to a person who died from drowning.

Other Important Issues

Precipitating Event

In each case of drowning, the precipitating event should be reported if known. Drowning is sometimes precipitated by an injury or a medical condition. Seizure is the most common initiating event in all age groups. Loss of consciousness
from any cause, however, such as hyperventilation before breathholding under water, concussion, stroke, or cardiac arrhythmia, may result in drowning. Circulatory arrest from arrhythmias is probably underestimated as a major cause of loss of consciousness among older adults with ischemic heart disease; it is also a cause of loss of consciousness among children and young adults with unrecognized prolonged QT syndrome.\textsuperscript{41,42} Other precipitating events are major trauma or cervical spine injury, which are usually associated with vehicular accidents or diving.\textsuperscript{43–46}

When assessing a drowning incident, it is important to recognize the role of intentional injury, suicide, homicide, and child abuse. Hypothermia, alcohol, and drugs may impair motor function and judgment. Moreover, alcohol may affect the cardiovascular response to submersion.\textsuperscript{47,48} Of note, several precipitating events, such as seizures, alcohol use, and hypothermia, are associated with an increased risk of death from drowning.\textsuperscript{49,50} Thus, these precipitating events should be noted, because they may be confounders in outcome. In some situations it may be difficult to identify the primary cause of death as drowning or another condition. For example, drowning in an older person may trigger a heart attack, whereas a heart attack may precipitate a drowning event.

Examples of precipitating events include the following:

- Syncope or seizure
- Impairment of judgment, level of consciousness, and/or motor function by drugs, alcohol, or hypothermia
- Unconsciousness from any other cause
- Circulatory arrest (eg, pulseless electrical activity or ventricular fibrillation)
- Hyperventilation before breathholding under water
- Trauma

\textbf{Immersion}

Immersion is to be covered in water. For drowning to occur, usually at least the face and airway are immersed.

\textbf{Submersion}

During submersion, the entire body, including the airway, is under water.

\textbf{Time Intervals and Time Points (Events)}

An interval is the period of time between 2 events.\textsuperscript{5,5} There should be an explicit definition of the 2 anchor events, with a beginning and an end point in time. Time point refers to 1 point (event) in time. Therefore, response times should be reported as 2 time points on a clock that result in a defined response interval (eg, in minutes).

The importance of time intervals in resuscitation science is exemplified by the duration of submersion. The number of minutes submersed is a measure of the period of hypoxic insult. Although this information is usually estimated by bystanders and is inaccurate, it has been correlated with survival.\textsuperscript{51–55}

\textbf{Outcome}

The primary outcome of a drowning episode should be categorized as either death or survival. Survival indicates that the victim remained alive after the acute event and any acute or subacute sequelae. For example, survival is defined as the outcome of drowning victims who were successfully resuscitated from cardiac or respiratory arrest and were then discharged from the hospital or survived initially and subsequently died of other causes. A drowning in which the victim is successfully resuscitated at the scene but succumbs to a condition that is causally related to the drowning should be categorized as death due to drowning. Following are examples of common sequelae leading to death from drowning. Of note, the most common cause of death in hospitalized drowning victims is posthypoxic encephalopathy.

- Brain death attributable to severe hypoxic or ischemic brain injury
- Acute respiratory distress syndrome
- Multiorgan system dysfunction secondary to severe hypoxic or ischemic insult
- Sepsis syndrome attributable to aspiration pneumonia or nosocomial infections

Although differentiating death from survival is usually easy, judgment occasionally is required to determine whether death after illnesses such as aspiration pneumonia or septic shock is causally related to the drowning episode. A death from such causes in the first few days or weeks after a drowning episode would generally be judged to be attributable to the drowning because the chain of causation is clear. Death from drowning would also be the ruling for a drowning victim who develops and dies from aspiration pneumonia after being stable with severe hypoxic encephalopathy for weeks to months. However, if that same patient died of acute myocardial infarction, it most likely would be classified as a death not related to drowning. Thus, there is no time limit between the drowning event and death from drowning if there is a clear chain of causality.

The survival category can be subclassified in terms of severity and type of morbidities, such as neurological impairment or respiratory impairment (eg, ventilator dependence).

Multiple outcome scales have been validated. For adults, commonly used assessment tools are the ABC (awake, blunted, comatose) score, the Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS), and the Glasgow-Pittsburgh Cerebral Performance Categories (CPC) and Overall Performance Categories (OPC) (Table 2).\textsuperscript{23,38,56–58} For children, commonly used assessment tools are the Pediatric Cerebral Performance Category Scale and Pediatric Overall Performance Category Scale.\textsuperscript{59} Other important schemas measure nonfatal health outcomes applicable to drowning survivors, expanding the range of possible outcomes and better describing how survivors and families actually function after injury.

One of the major composite measures of life and health after injury is quality-adjusted life years, which is calculated by multiplying the life expectancy after the injury by a weight for the health-related quality of life during each year of life.\textsuperscript{60} Health-related quality of life measures describe individuals’ opportunities, health perceptions, and impairments. Health status measures describe individuals’ abilities. Pediatric health status measures include the Child Health Questionnaire and the Pediatric Quality of Life.\textsuperscript{61,62} In 1980, the World
TABLE 2. Glasgow–Pittsburgh Outcome Categorization of Brain Injury\textsuperscript{58}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cerebral Performance Categories (CPC)</th>
<th>Overall Performance Categories (OPC)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Good cerebral performance: Conscious, alert, and able to work and lead a normal life. Might have minor psychological or neurological deficits (mild dysepsia, noncapacitating hemiparesis, or minor cranial nerve abnormalities).</td>
<td>1. Good overall performance: Healthy, alert, and capable of leading a normal life. Good cerebral performance (CPC 1) plus no functional disability from noncerebral organ system abnormalities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Moderate cerebral disability: Conscious. Sufficient cerebral function for part-time work in a sheltered environment or independent activities of daily life (dress, travel by public transportation, food preparation). Such patients may have hemiplegia, seizures, ataxia, dysarthria, dysphasia, or permanent memory or mental changes.</td>
<td>2. Moderate overall disability: Conscious. Moderate cerebral disability alone (CPC 2) or moderate disability from noncerebral organ system dysfunction alone or both. Performs independent activities of daily life (dress, travel by public transportation, food preparation) or able to work part-time in sheltered environment, but disabled for competitive work.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Severe cerebral disability: Conscious; patient dependent on others for daily support (in an institution or at home with exceptional family effort) because of impaired brain function. Has at least limited cognition. This category includes a wide range of cerebral abnormalities, from patients who are ambulatory but have severe memory disturbance or dementia precluding independent existence, to those who are paralyzed and can communicate only with their eyes, as in the locked-in syndrome.</td>
<td>3. Severe overall disability: Conscious. Severe cerebral disability alone (CPC 3) or severe disability from noncerebral organ system dysfunction alone, or both. Dependent on others for daily support.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Coma/vegetative state: Not conscious, unaware of surroundings, no cognition. No verbal and/or psychological interaction with environment.</td>
<td>4. Same as CPC 4.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Brain death: Certified brain dead or dead by traditional criteria.</td>
<td>5. Same as CPC 5.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Health Organization developed the International Classification of Impairments, Disabilities, and Handicaps, a classification system for the consequences of disease\textsuperscript{55} that categorizes by impairment, disability, and handicap. Adult health status measures include EuroQol\textsuperscript{64} and SF-36,\textsuperscript{65} among others. Preference-based measures of health-related quality of life such as the Health Utilities Index\textsuperscript{66,67} are consistent with current standards for economic evaluation of health interventions.\textsuperscript{68}

Another functional outcome measurement tool, Disability-Adjusted Years of Life, was developed to incorporate judgments about the value of time spent in different states of health. Through surveys, 6 classes of disability severity were established for activities of daily living and other domains and then weighted. Thus, value choices have been standardized using an international population so that the classification system can be used internationally. The Disability-Adjusted Years of Life is the sum of years of life lost and years lived with disability adjusted for severity of disability.\textsuperscript{69}

Morbidity should be quantified using an outcome scale for a given point in time (eg, at hospital discharge or 1 year after discharge). Ideally, long-term follow-up after discharge, especially for children, would best depict the outcome. In 1 study, one half of severely neurologically devastated victims died.\textsuperscript{70} In addition, outcome may be better elucidated in less severely affected survivors with later follow-up. Scoring systems emphasizing functional outcome should be used because recent reports demonstrate that abnormalities may exist in so-called neurologically normal survivors.\textsuperscript{71}

Much of the clinical literature on drowning has focused on predictors of outcome. Victim-related factors associated with increased risk of death or poor outcome include being male, being nonwhite (in the United States), having a seizure disorder, and use of alcohol.\textsuperscript{22,49,50,72} Incident-related factors associated with death or bad outcome include prolonged duration of submersion, failure to receive bystander CPR, and acute resuscitation efforts lasting $>25$ minutes.\textsuperscript{22,51–55} Factors identifiable at hospital admission include level of conscious-ness, especially if unconsciousness is prolonged; elevated serum glucose; hypothermia; and signs of brain stem dysfunction, such as absent pupillary reflex, absent spontaneous respiration, and Pediatric Risk of Mortality (PRISM) scores.\textsuperscript{24,26,73,74} Of note, age has no independent association with outcome.\textsuperscript{52,75,76}

**Recommended Data to Report**

The consensus conference developed a reporting template to help investigators report methods and results. A summary reporting template is shown in Figure 1; specific items to be reported are listed in Tables 3 through 7. **Core data** (shown in **bold** typeface) should be reported in all studies; **supplementary data** (shown in **italic** typeface) are recommended but not essential. Core data were considered important and feasible to be reported in most systems worldwide. Supplementary data were considered important but nonessential information or important information that is difficult to capture reliably (eg, time points and time intervals). Core data can be reliably gathered by almost any investigator so that a minimum universal data set worldwide is feasible.

**Template**

I. Victim information (Table 3)

A. Core

1. **Victim identifier**: A number, code, or other information for unique identification of each victim

2. **Gender**: Male or female

3. **Age** in months if $<24$ months of age; in years if $\geq 24$ months of age. Estimate age if date of birth is unknown.

4. **Incident date and time of day**

5. **Precipitating event**: Indicate if a precipitating event or factor is known. A precipitating event or factor is causally related to the drowning (eg, alcohol or drug intoxication, traumatic injury, or...
A cause of drowning is frequently unknown, but several known precipitating events can have a powerful influence on outcome. Because it is difficult to capture these data, the true number of precipitating causes may be underestimated.

B. Supplemental

1. Race or ethnic category: These characteristics have been important risk factors and issues for preventive interventions. Reported differences likely reflect differences in exposure rates and risk factors, not differences in physiological responses. Racial or ethnic information may be difficult to ascertain clinically (eg, Hispanic versus white in the United States) or delineate (eg, mixed marriages and names).

2. Resident of city, county, state, country: Population-based studies should identify the population being studied and confirm that the victims studied are indeed residents of the population base.

3. Preexisting illness: List preexisting illness such as psychological or medical disorders. It may be difficult to know if the drowning was related to the illness, but this judgment could be made by someone at the scene or later during epidemiological analyses. The illness should be described if it is known.

II. Scene information (Table 4)

A. Witnessed (submersion is observed): yes/no

B. Body of water: bathtub, swimming pool, ocean, lake, river, or other bodies of water or containers

C. Water/liquid type: fresh, salt, chemical, other

D. Approximate water temperature: nonicy, icy

E. Time of submersion if known

F. Time of removal of victim from water if known

G. Unconscious when removed from water: yes/no

H. Cyanosis

I. Resuscitation before EMS arrived: yes/no

J. Method of CPR: mouth-to-mouth (MTM), ventilation alone, MTM + chest compression (CC), CC only, automated external defibrillation

K. EMS called: yes/no

L. EMS vehicle dispatched: yes/no

M. Time of first EMS assessment

N. Initial vital signs (spontaneous breathing, palpable pulse)

O. Oxygen saturation, temperature, blood pressure, pupillary reaction

P. Time of first EMS resuscitation attempt

Q. Neurological status: ABC or other neurological assessment (AVPU, GCS)

### TABLE 3. Victim Information

| A. Victim identifier | Core |
| B. Gender | Core |
| C. Age (estimate, if necessary) | Core |
| D. Race or ethnic category | Supplemental |
| E. Date and time of day of incident | Core |
| F. Residence (city, county, state, country) | Supplemental |
| G. Precipitating event: known/unknown | Core |
| H. Preexisting illness: yes/no | Supplemental |

Table 3: Victim Information

- Patient ID
- Gender: M/F/U
- Date of birth
- Date of event
- EMS present
- EMS received
- Time of event
- Location of drowning: bucket, toilet, lake, ocean, river/flowing water
- Event witnessed?
- Witnessed by: laiyerson, healthcare personnel
- At scene:
  - Loss of consciousness
  - CPR by: laiyerson, healthcare personnel
  - Rescue breathing
  - Chest compression

EMS assessment/management:
- Spontaneous breathing: yes/no, initial neuro state: GCS: E, V, M
- Signs of circulation: yes/no, oxygen saturation, temperature, blood pressure, pupillary reaction
- Time of submersion if known
- Time of removal of victim from water if known
- Unconscious when removed from water: yes/no
- Cyanosis
- Resuscitation before EMS arrived: yes/no
- Method of CPR: mouth-to-mouth (MTM)
- EMS called: yes/no
- EMS vehicle dispatched: yes/no
- Time of first EMS assessment
- Initial vital signs
- Date of discharge or death

Outcome:
- ROSC
- Survived to: ICU/ED, hospital discharge, CGP, discharge, CPC
- Date of discharge or death

Figure 1. Example of revised Utstein drowning data form. U* indicates unknown; ROSC, return of spontaneous circulation. Conn Drowning Coma Scale: A indicates alert; B, blunted; and C, comatose. GCS scale: E indicates eye opening; V, verbal response; and M, motor response. AVPU scale: A indicates alert or awake; V, response to voice; P, response to pain only; and U, unarousable.
TABLE 5. Emergency Department Evaluation and Treatment

| A. Vital signs: temperature, heart rate, respiratory rate, blood pressure | Core |
| B. Oxygen hemoglobin saturation | Core |
| C. Arterial blood gas analysis, if unconscious or SaO₂ < 95% on room air | Core |
| D. Initial neurological status (GCS, AVPU, or ABC) | Core |
| E. Pupillary reaction | Supplemental |
| F. Airway and ventilation requirements | Core |
| G. Toxicology testing: blood alcohol level and other drugs | Supplemental |

GCS indicates Glasgow Coma Scale; AVPU, Alert, responds to Verbal stimuli, responds to Painful stimuli, Unresponsive to all stimuli; and ABC, awake, blunted, comatose.

3. Loss of consciousness: Was the victim unconscious when removed from the water?

4. Pre-EMS resuscitation: Was resuscitation attempted before arrival of emergency medical service (EMS) personnel and equipment? If yes, who provided CPR: lay rescuer, lifeguard, other? (The latter is supplemental data.) List details if known.

5. EMS called: Was EMS called for this drowning event?

6. Initial vital signs assessed by EMS: The consensus group recommended a focus on 2 vital signs that are indicators of outcome: was the drowning victim breathing spontaneously and was a pulse palpable? The importance of actual respiratory and pulse rates and the impact of these on outcome are unknown, but it is recommended that both be collected as supplemental data.

7. Time of first EMS resuscitation attempt: The time of the first resuscitation attempt is important because it is another indicator of the duration of hypoxemia. Furthermore, in both cardiac arrest and drowning studies, intervals from drowning or cardiac arrest to CPR are known to affect outcome.22,51,52,77

8. Neurological status: Report the victim’s neurological status at the scene of the drowning. Several neurological scoring systems are widely used and acceptable, including the GCS, AVPU (Alert, responds to Verbal stimuli, responds to Painful stimuli, Unresponsive to all stimuli), or ABC.23,38,56–58,80

B. Supplemental

1. Type of water/liquid: Did the drowning occur in fresh water, salt water, water containing chemicals, or other types of water? This information is supplemental data because the type of water does not predict the clinical course of drownings in fresh water or seawater. On the other hand, heavily contaminated water or water containing chemicals may have clinical repercussions.

2. Water temperature: Was the water icy or nonicy? The only water temperatures associated with possible improved outcomes have been icy waters.51–83 Report the ambient air temperature if known.

3. Time of submersion and time of removal from water if known. The time interval or duration that the victim was submerged is the most important predictor of outcome in drowning and should be recorded if possible.22,51,52 Because the submersion interval is seldom documented with a timepiece such as a stopwatch, however, this is a subjective, imprecise observation.84–86 Therefore, it is considered supplementary data rather than core data to reflect the difficulty in accurately assessing this element.

4. EMS vehicle dispatched: Was an EMS vehicle sent to the drowning site?

5. Time of first EMS assessment: When did EMS personnel make their first assessment of the drowning victim?

6. Cyanosis: Cyanosis can be an indicator of hypoxemia (an important marker for degree of asphyxia and therefore outcome). Cold water may also produce the appearance of cyanosis. Significant hypoxemia may be present even when observers have not reported cyanosis. Furthermore, skin and mucous membrane color may vary considerably between victims.

7. Method of CPR: What CPR interventions were used? Mouth-to-mouth or mouth-to-nose ventilation only; mouth-to-mouth ventilation and chest compression; or chest compression only? Note if an automated external defibrillator was used and if the device actually delivered a shock. Some experts considered this information of enough importance that it should be core data; because it may be difficult to capture this

TABLE 6. Hospital Course

| A. Airway and ventilation requirements | Core |
| B. Serial neurological function (admission, 6 hours, 24 hours, 72 hours, discharge) | Supplemental |
| C. Complicating illnesses | Supplemental |

TABLE 7. Disposition

| A. Alive or dead | Core |
| B. Date of hospital discharge | Core |
| C. Neurological outcome at hospital discharge | Core |
| D. Quality of life (OPC, CPC, other) | Supplemental |
| E. Cause of death: | Supplemental |
| 1. How was cause of death determined? |
| 2. Autopsy: yes/no |
| 3. Forensic information (suicide, homicide?) |
| F. Other injuries and morbidities | Supplemental |

OPC indicates overall performance category; CPC, cerebral performance category.
information reliably, however, it is listed as supplemental data.

8. **Pupillary reaction, temperature, blood pressure, oxygen saturation**: Although it is clear that these parameters are important, many EMS systems cannot measure these data reliably. Capture whatever data can be measured. In the future most EMS providers will be able to measure these data reliably.

III. Emergency department treatment (Table 5)

A. **Core**
   1. **Vital signs**: Indicate heart and respiratory rate, blood pressure, and temperature. If blood pressure is too low to measure, is the pulse palpable?
   2. **Oxygen hemoglobin saturation**: Oxygen hemoglobin saturation may be measured with pulse oximetry.
   3. **Arterial blood gas analysis**: Report arterial blood gas tensions and pH, especially in victims who are unconscious or who have an oxygen hemoglobin saturation below 95% when breathing room air.
   4. **Initial neurological function**: Report results of the neurological examination when the victim first arrived in the emergency department using a validated, age-appropriate system (GCS, AVPU, or ABC). Specify the scale used.
   5. **Airway and ventilation requirements**: Report whether the victim required ventilation with bag mask or invasive airway (tracheal intubation). Was mechanical ventilation used, with or without positive end-expiratory pressure or continuous positive airway pressure?

B. **Supplemental**
   1. **Serial neurological function**: In addition to the core neurological examination, report the neurological examination on admission and at 6, 24, and 72 hours after admission to the hospital and at discharge (ABC, AVPU, or GCS may be used). Report the time interval using the initial drowning event as the first point in time.
   2. **Complicating illnesses of drowning**: Report if the victim developed illnesses such as respiratory distress syndrome, disseminated intravascular coagulation, increased intracranial pressure, electrolyte disturbances, acute renal failure, seizures, sepsis, or myocardial failure.

IV. Hospital course (Table 6)

A. **Core**
   1. **Death**: If the victim died, report the date, place, and time of death.
   2. **Date of hospital discharge**: Report the date of discharge from the hospital.
   3. **Neurological outcome at hospital discharge**: Use an age-appropriate, validated scoring system such as the CPC scale, OPC scale, pediatric CPC scale, or pediatric OPC scale. Other time reference points may be used, such as neurological status 1 year after hospital discharge.

B. **Supplemental**
   1. **Quality of life**: Report quality of life at the time of discharge from the hospital using an age-appropriate, validated scoring system.
   2. If the victim died, report the cause of death and the following items:
      a. How was the cause of death determined?
      b. Was an autopsy performed?
      c. Was a forensic investigation performed and was a forensic cause uncovered (suicide, murder)? This is included because it is likely that the risk of death will be greater in victims whose injury was intentional, eg, suicide, homicide, or child abuse.
   3. **Other injuries and morbidities**: Report other injuries and illnesses.

VI. Outcome
Figure 2 depicts a possible scheme that can be used to chart outcome. The outcome categories are derived in part from the Cerebral and Overall Performance Categories (Table 2).

Summary
In summary, a group of international experts agreed on these recommendations for unified drowning-related definitions and guidelines for reporting data. These terms are intended to improve the clarity of scientific communication and the comparability of scientific investigations. Improved validity, clarity, and data compatibility of future scientific investigations of drowning will improve the knowledge base, epidemiological stratification, and appropriate treatment of victims of drowning and ultimately save lives.

The consensus task force welcomes comments or questions regarding these recommendations. Letters from organizations that wish to be represented at future conferences are also invited.
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